Self-Consumption, Gifting, and Chinese Wine Consumers

Ping Qind and Wuyang Hu

¹ Professor, College of Economics and Management, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China.

² Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA. <u>wuyang.hu@uky.edu</u>

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the 2015 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association and Western Agricultural Economics Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA, July 2628.

Copyright 2015 by Ping Qing and Wuyang Hu. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-mmercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Self-Consumption, Gifting, and Chinese Wine Consumers

Abstract

Chins is the world largest red grape wine consuming country. Using data from a recent survey conducted in three diverse cities in China, this studynerses Chinese consumers' expenditure and preferences for werfor both selfconsumption and giftingResults indicate that in addition to price, Chineseonsumers looked for other wine attributes such as brand and colbeteut t are significant regional differences in wine preference explenditure. On average, Chinese spend more on gift wines than for theiwn consumption. Increase in selfnsumption contributed significantly to increases in gifting but the reverse effect was much weektersF contributing to selfconsumption and giftingre different and sometimes the effects were completely opposite such aonsumers' experiences with wirthe role of wine advertisement, and the occasions when wine was consumed. Implications are drawn for wine standards classification policies and for wine producers and marketers in China as well as around the world.

Keywords: China, expenditure, gift, regional, sedfsumption, wine

JEL Code: Q13

Acknowledgment:

Funding from National Natural Science Fouthindra of China (grant # 71073064, 71273106 and 71003086) and Huazhong gricultural University Scientific and technological Stelfhovation Foundation (program # 2012RC003) and nowledged.

Introduction

Grape wine is one of the most valuable agricultural products traded internationally with a market of 22 billion U.S. dollars in 2011 (et al. 2013). Corsi et al. (2010) noted that the steady growth in global wine industry is due to a large degree by the storp of the Asian market, particularly Chinalapan India, Singapore and South Korea orrison and Rabellotti (2014) reported that China and Japan alone consumed 90% of all wine imported to TAsia demand from China is particularly noteworthy where it consumes the amount by Japan and 100 tinsef that by India. Anderson and Wittwer (2013) found that virtually all increases in world grape and wine trade in the recent two genatise attributed to China's import growth. These authors further noticed that while the world grape and wine industry capital remained stagnant after depreciation, such capital will likely increase at 1.5% in China each yearChina's wine industry has been highly active in the past five years, attracting and dispensing capital across the worldo (rrison and Rabellotti 2014) While relatively new on the international wine business, China has already flashed its ambition by investing heavily in the French Bordeaux area and extending acquisitions in the U.S. Californizes Vetley, as well as in the Australian Barossa Valley and in New Zealand major production regions (Bouzdine Chameeva et al. 2013 Morrison and Rabellotti (2014) even went on by saying that China has saved Australian wineries.

Given the importance of China for the international wine induetry nomic research on Chinese wine consumption and preference is surprisingly sparse instance, a search of the Journal of Wine Economics between its inception in 2006 and 2014 returned only one study in 2009 (Lee et al. 2009) conducted to understand wine sales in Chinese supermarkets. For the invaluence of the study in 2009 (Lee et al. 2009) conducted to understand wine sales in Chinese supermarkets.

¹ The reference to wine suggests grape wine for the rest of this article unless explicitly noted.

literature on Chinese wine demaand preferençæither aggregate secondary data were used (e.g., Muhammad et al. 2013) or prinnatata from a relatively small Chinese region was adopted (e.g., Lin and Tavoletti 2013).

The goal of his study is first to use data collected throaglecent survey to offer an updated overview of current Chinese wine consumption habit and prefere **Bees** nd, wine consumption data from three wide different Chinese cities regathered to provide a more complete view of Chinese wine demained three cities are Shenzhen, Wuhan, and young representing southern, centrand northern China. Thirds an distinctive culture in China, gifting or treat offering through wine is significant portion of the total wine consumption. This study offers one of the first looks at wine set finsumption and gifting separately and explains factors contributing for each type of demand. This research is timely considering the increasingly strong antigraft and austerity ampaigns implemented by the Chinese central governnt teatt are expected to greatly reduce gift expenditure on luxurious wines

Background and Past Literature

Traditionally grape wine does not enter Chinese gastronomic culture but the situation changed drastically over the past 40 yeal/s (n et al. 2010). Chinese wine consumption was almost negligible in the 1970's and production was about 85(Million litre) per year (Bouzdine Chameeva et al. 2013). In 2011, the total production was 1450ML. During 200936-Chinese wine output grew by 58%. The growth was 99% for 20006, and 192% for 2002911. During 20122013 alone, Chinese wine production has increased by 77% making China the fifth largest wine producing counting the world (Morrison and Rabellotti 2014).

(2013) predicted that b3/016, China will produce 2 billion bottles and by 2018, the output will further increase by 50% compared to the 20083 period.

Morrison and Rabellotti (2014) showed that China has sustained the fastest consumption growth over the past decade. The culated that between 192009, the annual growth rate of China's wine consumption was an astonishing 14554²% The second fastest growing country was Australia with 810% annual growth rate followed by New Zealand and the U.S. As a comparison, during the same time peritode, Old World country France and Italy registered negative anual growth rate of 53% and 62%, respectively. Terms of quantity, the demand for wine in China increased by 290% in the past ten years (Bou Cottiane eeva et al. 2013) reaching 1.6BL as of 208 (Anderson and Wittwer 2013). et al. (2013) and et et al. (2014) predicted that China will become world largest wine market in 20 years even some researchers believe that time could come much sooner (Anderson and Wittwer 2013).

Some research indicates that Chinese per capita annual wine consumption is about 0.75L to 1.2L (Marquis and Yang 2014; Bouzdi@enameeva et al. 2013). This is about 5% of total average per capitannualalcohol consumption in China (Anderson and Wittwer 2013). For France and Italy, the average per capitaua wine consumption is 54L and 49L respectively (BouzdineChameeva et al. 2013) China were to reach a level even close to the French or Italian, the market potential is immense. Anderson and Wittwer (2006) cted that an additional 620940ML of wine will be consumed by Chinese 2018 on top of the current 1.63BL level. Recognizing the current and predicted Chinese domestic production level, the

² This number appears to be unreasonably large due to the fact that in 1970, wine consumption in China was close to non-existent. As a result, when changes were calculated, a near "dividing by zero" occurred. Nevertheless, the increases in later years in *i***Gb** have been significant.

authors also projected that there will be an additional need of 4000 L of imported wine in China in 2018.

China has already been keen on importing wine from across the world. During (2000)-China's imports increased by 26000% (Muhammad et al. 20:45) ing 627ML (Anderson and Wittwer 2013) Red wine is the most preferred type in China. Lee et all (Anderson and 82% of imported wines sold in China are red. With the 1.4BL consumption, China is world largest red wine consumer clipping France (Willsher 2014). For the past ten year, red wine sales in China grew by 175% with opping by 18% and 5.8% in France and Italy respectively. As a result, in this current research, we focus on red wine only. The internet has also been used more heavily as an outlet of selling wine. Marquis and Yang (2014) predicted that esales will increase by 47% by 2020. In addition to large cities, second and third tier cities as well as wineourism are areas receiving increasing attention (Ye et al. 2014 and Zhang et al. 2013). In this study, we consider the factors estates and sales in relating smaller cities such as Shenyang.

With fast rising disposable income, China has developed demand for consumption goods, particularly food (Wang and McCluskey 2010). Researchers generally agree that income plays a major role to explain the ineasing demand for wine (e.g., Anderson and Wittwer 2013 and Somogyi et al. 2011). However, studies show the general Chinese consumers' knowledge on wine is still low (Marquis and Yang 2014) and concerns on authenticity cannot be neglected (Zhang et al. 2001, Muhammad et al. 2013). As a result, this study includes the impact from consumers' knowledge on their wine demand.

Gift giving is an integrative part of the Chinese culture. In recent years, gifting luxurious consumer products have become popular and sometimes individual even have to cut back their spending on essential household items (Xi et al. 2012). Yu et al. (2009) found that Chinese spend more on purchasing wine as a gift than for their own consumption (2009) and Liu and Murphy (2007) furthesuggested that giving highend wine may be associated with displaying an image of high social standing and prestige from the giver. Muhammad et al. (2013) noticed a continued tend of purchasing wine as gift but Marquis and Yang (2014) predicted that wine gifting may slow down given the government for austerity. Neverthelest per has been no researchon Chinese wine gifting xpenditure recent years especially after the strong government clampdowns on wasteful and showy spenditing studyfills this void.

As indicated previously, past research on Chinese wine consumption is scarce. Gallet (2007) included over 100 studies on wine consumers across the world but none was conducted in China. Ye et al. (2013) listed **sen** papers prior to 2010. There have been just a few **morece**int years but these studies either focus on the aggregate market without consumer specifics (e.g, Muhammad et al. 2013), or use primary data collected only from large **cities**lominantly Beijing and Shangha(Lin and Tavoletti 2013, Ye et al. 2013, and Wang and McCluskey 2010). The current analysis uses consumer late collected from a range of Chinese cities representing different culture, household income, and food consumption habits

Data Collection

Data used in this study were completed through a consumer survepring 2014. To offer a more representative view of Chinese consumbless turvey was implemented in three Chinese cities: Shenzhen, Wuhan, and Shenyang. Shenzhen (population seven million) osen because it's one of the symbolic cities marking China's "openness to the outside world" policy in the 70's. Wuhan (population eight million) was selected due to its unique location in central inland China The northern city Shenyan (gopulation five million) was chosen because it represents the rarely studied northeast region of China. As the city trying to catch up in its economic development, it is useful to incluits wine consumers in the study.

The survey instruments were eated after constitute four separate focus groups: two formed by wine experts, marketers, as well as importers and two by general constitutes focus groups helped improve the survey by including key relevant questions and presenting the questions in a clear and normisleading manner. Two pilot surveys were conducted to enable researchers to test the questions and make final adjustments. During the final survey or determine the approached in front of grocery stores in each the threecities. Three ites of stores were chosen in each according to their customer basis, including neighborhood stores were chosen in each stores, as well as highend grocery stores. To improve data representativeness, professionally trained surveyors intercent stores during different time and day of the week. The survey took about 10 minutes to complete and respondents were thanked with ¥30 **RtviB** of 1,023 respondents answered the survey. The response rate was similar in each city reaching about 75%. Othe returned questionnaires, 996 were useable. The survey used a filter question select only wine consumers resulting to samplessize85, 315, and 285 for Shenzhen, Wuhan, and Shenyang respectively.

³ At the time of thestudy,RMB ¥1 = USD \$0.16 and RMB ¥0 is roughlyUSD \$48.

Descriptive Resulton Consumption Habit and Preferences

Table 1 reports key demographic characteristics of the overall sample as well as the sample in each of the three cities formation from the 2010 Chinese demographic censes designed in the table. Overall, gender is relatively well represented by the sample of earnd years of education, the census did not give one single number. However, based on the CIA's world factbook (CIA 2014), the mediaarge and verage education in China was 6.7 and 13 respectively. As can be seen from the table, the observed sample had lower than average age and higher education. Furthermore, household income of the sample was about twice as high as the city average. Despite the fact that there does not appear to have a demographic for the between wine consumers, multiple researchers found that Chinese wine consumers tended to be young, well educated, and had higher than average income (Lin and Tavoletti 2013, Ye et al. 2013, and Muhammad et al. 2013) Nevertheless, an intrinsic nature of a sample from an unknown population is the issue of representation. Readers are cautioned on this and future studies can help better understand representativeness of the current studies.

Besides demographic questions burvey asked questions about consumers' wine consumption habit and perceptions.ifterencesexist between consumption patteofs the three cities but most differences were not statistically significant. As a result, the numbers were pooled across cities. On average, consumers spent ¥67 and ¥127 per month on wine **conself** ption and gifting respectively. This confirms results from previous studies that Chinese consumers spent more on wine as a gift than for their oweng., Yu et al. 2009). To offer a basis to control for the total amount of alcohol consumed, the survey asked respondents to record their total alcohol

expenditure of all kindsluring all events in the previous month. The number was ¥417.5. This indicates that while total wine consumption still accounted for less half not Chinese consumers' total alcohol expenditure, the proportion is greater than some other studies have suggested (Anderson and Wittwer 2013). A total of 55.5% of the surveyed consumers had never been a regular wine drinker. There were only 2.7% reported they had been regularly drinking wine for over 10 years in terms of quantity, consumers drank about 1.8 classes (150ml per class) every week. The survey asked respondents to rank under what circumstan wesult be purchase wine from the most common cause to the least. Figure 1 reports the result.

According to the figure, of the 800 respondents who indicated their top reason to purchase wine, 27.6% and 24.4% said it water consumption at homeend for family outings in restaurants respectively. There were respectively 20.8% and 17.9% of the consumers chose treating friends in restaurants and visit friends as gifts as their top reason. The remaining 9.4% consumers indicated consuming in bars being their top reason for purchase the second mostriportant reason, treating friends or buying as a gift for friends accounted for 35.1% and 23.0% of the consumers who gave an answer for the question indicated consumption in bars.

The survey asked consumers where they purchased their wine. Interestingly, the most common place to purchase wine for **self** nsumption was the supermarkets accounting for 54.7% of the 878 consumers who answered that question. The second most commeow as a specialty stores accounting for 28.6% of those who answered the question. Figurght asing, the most

⁴ The reasons offered in the survey were determined by extensive focus group discussion **test idg** re-Consumers were allowed to enter other reasons in an open space but only a few consumers (in single digits) ever did and this is true for the rest of all questions in this section.

commonly visited venue was the specialty stores for 47.6% of the 864 consumers answered that question. The second popular place for gift winechasing was the supermarkets for 40.5% of the consumers. The survey included other possible wine purchasing locations and more detailed result can be seen in Table 2, which will be explained later.

When asked which country's wine consumers purchased the most often, of the 851 respondents who answered the question, 48.2% indicated domestic wine. For the rest of the countries, 32.7% indicated France, 5.9% for Italy, and based on popularity, the rest of the countries were Australia, New Zealand, Spainh@e/USA (a tie), and South Africa. This finding is also consistent with previous literature in that Chinese domestic wine has a dominating market share (Lee et al., 2009)French wine is the most prefedrimenported wine but wines from Newdwld producersare making their way to the Chinese market as weeks.noteworthy to point out that this question in the survey asked thest often country consumers buy wine from, not the largest quantity or expenditure.

The survey further asked consumers to rank www.eate the reasons they consume wine for. Five reasons were offered in the survey: enjoyment, health benefit, cosmetic readitions associated with wine, and business occasions. Figure 2 reports the result. For the top rated reason, of the 818 consumers whogave their answe@7.8% indicated business. A total of 23.7 and 23.4% of the consumers indicated health and enjoymeterpretively. The lowest percentage (10%) reported cosmetic reasons. Among the consumers who provided their second most important reason for wine consumption (647 consumers), 28.7%, 21.2%, 20.2%, 15.5%, and 14.4% chose health, culture, enjoyment, cosmetic and business reasons respectively. Not all

consumers included all five reasons in their ranking. For the 214 consumers who indicated their fifth reason for consumption, business occasions took the highest percentage of 32.7%, followed by cosmetic reasons at 29%.

Understanding consumer wine consumption habit and preferentive form of descriptive statistics is useful but a quantitative analysis will provide more details reganding penditure Table 2 summarizes the variables to be used in the regression an dispersion observations were replaced with sample mediation questions where consumers were asked to rank, dummy variables were created to indicate whether a particular option was chosen to be inoateled ast the top two spots. For instance, the variable "mostly buy for self" is a dummy variable equal to one if either "consumer at home" or "family outings in restaurants" was indicated by a consumer as one of the top two reasons for wine consumption. Since the survey included only wine drinkers, no consumers had zero expenditure on either constitution or gifting. Linear regression models were adopted to analyze both type of expenditure.

Regression Result Explaining Self-Consumption and Gifting

The dependent variables used in the regression analysis are monthly expenditures consumers reported for selfconsumption and gifting. One of the goals of this study is to examine regional differences in expenditur. For either selfconsumption or gifting, parameter equality was tested between models using data from the three cities separately. Based on the **95% ce**nf interval and in both consumption situation parameter equality between Shenzhen and Wuhan could not be rejected but was rejected between Shenzhen and Shenyang as well as between Wuhan and Shenyang. Thuegression resultusing he overall sample as well as the sample

from each individual city are reported. In the overall sample, dummy variables indicating Shenzhen and Wuhan meealso included to establish comparison to the omitted category ShenyangVariables insignificant in all models were exaded in the final analysis. For the model using Wuhan's sample, since no respondents indicated ftheop urchased vine from Chile or South Africa, these two variables were not included. All significance tests were based on robust standard errors.

Table 3 reports the result for setonsumption. As expected, in general, higher expenditure on gift wine purchase and overall alcohol consumption led to highecset fumption. For each additional ¥1 spent on gift wine, consumers in Shenzhen, Wuhan, and Showoyaddigncrease their selfconsumption b¥0.11, ¥0.25, and ¥0respectively. Increase in total alcohol expenditure by ¥1 meant increase of about ¥0.05 for consumers in Shenzhen and Wuhan but not significantly for Shenyang consumers. Overall, as indicated by the point fic dummy variable, holding all factors constant, consumers in Wuhan spent ¥129 more per month on wine for self consumption compared to the other cities erestingly, compared to other consumers, consumers with over ten yeaof regular wine drinking experience spent 4 and 466 less in Wuhan and Shenyang respectively. On the other hand, each additional glass (150 ml) of wine consumers drink per week, their monthly expenditure would go up by ¥8¥3fār&din Shenzhen and Whan respectively. These findings indicate that while expenditure went up along with the amount consumed, consumers having more experience with wine were probably more selective on their purchases and spent only moderately. Wine marketers should note this reverse impact of experience on consumption and target sales effort appropriate towards consumers with less experience.

Consumers who visited wine specialty store most often were more likely to spend more. In Shenzhen and Wuhan, compared to consumers who frequented other types of stores, specialty store visitors spent ¥61 and ¥60 per month more respectively. There was no significant difference in wine expenditure for consumers in Shenyang based on types of store visited most often. Despite previous litetare predicting increased sales for online stores (Marquis and Yang 2014), we did not find consumers shopped online most frequently differ in wine expenditure from other consumers. Consumers in Shenyang were the only ones who would spend ¥90 more per month if they were most likely to purchase wine from Italy.

For reasons why respondents may consume wine, those who consumed mostly for enjoyment purposes were more likely to spend molifieis type of consumers would spend ¥34 and ¥35 moreper month in Shenzhaand Wuhan respectively. On the other hand, consumers in Wuhan and Shenyang would spend ¥37 and ¥22 less if they codswine mostly for business purposes. Consumers in Shenyang who believed advertisement was important for their decision to purchase wine/ould spend ¥20 less per month. Knowledge played a rather significant role in consumer purchase decisions. For those who claimed they did not know wine well, their expenditure was reduced by ¥33 and ¥28 per month respectively in Wuhan and Shenyang. For those who believed they had good knowledge about wine, their expenditure was ¥62 more in Wuhan⁵. This result confirms previous finding that consumer education on wine is important to increase demand (Ye et al. 2013; Marquis and Yang 2014).

⁵ The two knowledge variables were both dummy variables and the omitted category was the "somewhat knowledgeable" category. The two included variables doed ficient of correlation less than 0.2.

In regards to wine attributes consumers consider when making a purchase, those in Shenzhen who believed country of origin being important would spend ¥32 **pere**month. Wuhan consumers who focused on brand would spend ¥35 **les**ShFenyang consumers who valued grape varieties, the expenditure would be ¥27 more. Finally for color, consumers in Wuhan who treated color as an important attribute would spend ¥43 less on wine per month. This result confirms previous belief thatecentChinese wine consumers **arle**oattracted bywine sensory attributes rather than simply the image and price attributes (Corsi et al. 2010). Consumer demographic features did not affect much their wine expenditure forcestumption. The only significant variable was gender in Shenyang. Male consumers spent ¥15 more on wine per month on average.

Table 4 reports the regression result for gift expenditure. There are similar trends as observed for self-consumption expenditures. However, there also exist sharp differences between the two types of expenditure. Similar to set/onsumption, consumers who spent more on self consumption would correspondingly spend more on gift svirlewever, the marginiampact from self-consumption on gift expenditure was much greater than the other way around. As indicated in the table, each ¥1 increase in set/fsumption would increase gift expenditure by ¥0.4, ¥0.6, and ¥1.6 per month for Shenzhen, Wuhan and StgeneyspectivelyAt ¥0.16 and ¥0.08 for consumers in Shenzhen and Wuhan respectively, arginal effect from total alcohol expenditure on gift wine purchasing was also greater compared-toosel/imption. Unlike purchasing for sel/consumption, experieeowith wine played a positive role gift purchasing. This is consistent with Chinese consumption on coffee (Yang et al. 2006)

Shenyang who also had more than ten years of regular wine drinking experiendesped ¥257 per mort moreon gift wine purchasing.

None of the store of purchase significantly affected gift wine expenditure. For consumers who most often purchase Vew Zealand wine, their expenditure was ¥116 per month higher although it was not clear which specific city contributed to the additional expenditure. Consumers who drank wine mostly for enjoyment purpose would spend ¥35 less per month on gift wines, again with no specific city association identified. Consumers in Wuhan who drank wine mostly for cultural reasons spent ¥43 less per month on gift wines. Differently **toosesi** fumption, consumers in Wuhan spent ¥44 more per month on gift wines if the most important reason for them to drink wine was for business. The importance of advertising also played a reversed role in gift wine purchasing. Shenyang consumers who believed advertisement was important in their purchasing decision bought less for **see** fusual having little knowled **geimen** did not affect their expenditure on gift wines although knowing wine well increased gift expenditure for Shenyang consumers.

There were also differences between wine attributes consumers treated as important when making purchase decisions for settinsumption and for gifting/iewing brand as an important attribute significantly affected consumers' gift wine expenditure but the effect was not consistent across cities. Consumers in Saben would decrease their gift expenditure by ¥82 per month if they believed brand was important. However, consumers in Shenyang would increase their gift expenditure by ¥50 per month. Consumers in Shenzhen and Wuhan who valued color would

spend ¥124 and ¥57 more per month on gift wine purdeaspectivelyAroma, when being recognized as important, would decrease gift expenditure by ¥107 and ¥68 per month respectively in Shenzhen and Wuhan but increase gift expenditure by ¥77 per month in ShenyangFinally, older consumers in Shenzhen spent less on gift wines butlocommatines spent more if they receiverdore education.

Conclusion and Implications

This articleoffers an updated overview of the current Chinese wine preference and the previous research, this study collected consumer data from three diverse Chinese cities: Shenzhen, Wuhan M Shenyang. Furthermore, differences in Chinese consumers' wine expenditure for self consumption and gift purchasing are highlighted. The result confirms many previous conclusions in chilterature but with new evidence and additional findings Although wine consumption is till a minor component in Chinese total expenditure on alcohol, the percentage is larger than previously reported in other research. Chinese domestic wine claims the majority of market show and wine from the Did World countries remains to be preferred, especially French win Consumer knowledge is a significant determinant on their wine expenditure. Additional education on wine is expected to bring increased sales. As suspected, Chinese consumers drink wine not just for the prestighe imageWine sensory attributes are becoming important factors such grape variety, color and aroma. Consumer knowledge still plays an important role in their wine purchasing decisions.

Unlike previous research, this study also reveals that there exist significterences in consumer wine expenditure across Chines shown that depending on region, consumers not

only spend different total amount on wine, the factors contributing to expendituates a different. Some factors are found to have opposite effects on consumer purchases in the three cities. More importantly, purchasing wine for set fnsumption or for gifts leads to drastically different spending patterns. First of all, Chinese coresers pend more on gift wines than for their own consumption despite the fact that the consumers purchase wines for themselves more often. Second, the reasons while is consumedre important predictors or as gifts, consumers look for different wine attributes. Understanding the differences in wine expenditure across regions and in different occasions are important for producers and marketers. There does not exist one single strategy to appeal to all consumers in China. As consumers grow more sophistication in their preferences, so will need the production, importing and marketing strategies. Additional research is justified to advance the understandiografumers adultates to the production on the fast track.

This current study can also be useful for policy considerations. For instance, how does Chinese consumer wine preferences translate to policies guiding Chinesestic production and importing/exporting activities is less understood. Although the Chinese Grape Wine Standard was established in 2008 (Corsi et al. 2010), there has been no study evaluating the cost and benefit aspects of the standard nor is there responses and policy updates. A similar issue involves the feasibility of constructing a Chinese wine classification system. Given the size of China's wine market and its drastic different cultural and historial background to the western systems, creating the Chinese wine

classification system will require inputs from all stakeholders, particularly the consumers. Such effort will unavoidably lead to additional dimensions to the current world wine trade.

Table 1. Sample Characteristics

| | Total Sample | Shenzhen | | Wuhan | | Shenyang | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|
| | | Sample | 2010 Census | Sample | 2010 Census | Sample | 2010 Census |
| Male (dummy, indicating %) | 0.534 | 0.481 | 0.542 | 0.543 | 0.514 | 0.579 | 0.505 |
| Male std. dev. | 0.499 | 0.501 | | 0.499 | | 0.495 | |
| Age | 31.687 | 31.938 | | 30.321 | | 32.946 | |
| Age std. dev. | 8.990 | 8.167 | | 9.103 | | 9.463 | |
| Househould income (monthly pre tax) | 14973.453 | 17796.832 | 9176.961* | 12357.887 | 5985.232* | 14468.423 | 6189.791* |
| Income std. dev. | 15816.437 | 13230.551 | | 18169.136 | | 14922.007 | |
| Years of education | 15.480 | 15.554 | | 15.391 | | 15.505 | |
| Education std. dev. | 2.479 | 2.246 | | 2.493 | | 2.682 | |

* Household income calculated by multiplying per capita income in census by Chinese average household size of 3.1.

| | | Mean | Std. Dev. |
|-----------------------------|--|---------|-----------|
| Self consumption | Monthly self consumption expenditure in RMB | 67.046 | 136.451 |
| Gift consumption | Monthly gift expenditure in RMB | 127.054 | 261.794 |
| Alcohol expenditure | Total monthly expenditure on all alcohol | 417.544 | 1781.205 |
| Never | Never been a regular drinker = 1 | 0.555 | 0.497 |
| Less than one year | Regular drinker for less than a year = 1 | 0.127 | 0.333 |
| One to five years | Regular drinker between one and five years = 1 | 0.127 | 0.388 |
| Five to ten years | Regular drinker between five and ten years = 1 | 0.076 | 0.265 |
| Over ten years | Regular drinker for over ten years = 1 | 0.070 | 0.163 |
| Glass per week | Number of glasses a week (150ml per glass) | 1.823 | 2.114 |
| Mostly buy for self | Mostly often buy for self consumption = 1 | 0.633 | 0.482 |
| Mostly buy for gift | Mostly often buy for gift = 1 | 0.638 | 0.481 |
| Self-supermarket | Most often buy from supermarket for self consumption = 1 | 0.542 | 0.498 |
| Self-specialty | Most often buy from specialty store for self consumption = 1 | 0.284 | 0.451 |
| Self-restaurant | | 0.284 | 0.451 |
| | Most often buy from restaurant for self consumption = 1 | | |
| Self-bar | Most often buy from bar for self consumption = 1 | 0.036 | 0.187 |
| Self-direct | Most often buy directly from winery for self consumption = 1 | 0.028 | 0.166 |
| Self-internet | Most often buy from the interenet for self consumption = 1 | 0.031 | 0.172 |
| Gift-supermarket | Most often buy from supermarket for self consumption = 1 | 0.395 | 0.489 |
| Gift-specialty | Most often buy from specialty store for self consumption = 1 | 0.464 | 0.499 |
| Gift-restaurant | Most often buy from restaurant for self consumption = 1 | 0.015 | 0.120 |
| Gift-bar | Most often buy from bar for self consumption = 1 | 0.009 | 0.095 |
| Gift-direct | Most often buy directly from winery for self consumption = 1 | 0.044 | 0.205 |
| Gift-internet | Most often buy from the interenet for self consumption = 1 | 0.037 | 0.190 |
| Most often buy-China | Most often buy wine from China = 1 | 0.463 | 0.499 |
| Most often buy-France | Most often buy wine from France = 1 | 0.314 | 0.464 |
| Most often buy-Italy | Most often buy wine from Italy = 1 | 0.056 | 0.231 |
| Most often buy-Australia | Most often buy wine from Australia = 1 | 0.042 | 0.200 |
| - | Most often buy wine from New Zealand = 1 | 0.020 | 0.141 |
| Most often buy-Spain | Most often buy wine from Spain = 1 | 0.018 | 0.133 |
| Most often buy-USA | Most often buy wine from USA = 1 | 0.017 | 0.129 |
| Most often buy-Chile | Most often buy wine from Chile = 1 | 0.017 | 0.129 |
| Most often buy-South Africa | Most often buy wine from South Africa = 1 | 0.003 | 0.058 |
| Enjoyment | No. 1 purpose of consuming wine is enjoyment = 1 | 0.503 | 0.500 |
| Health | No. 1 purpose of consuming wine is health benefit = 1 | 0.571 | 0.495 |
| Cosmetic | No. 1 purpose of consuming wine is cosmetic benefit = 1 | 0.321 | 0.467 |
| Culture | No. 1 purpose of consuming wine is culture reason = 1 | 0.420 | 0.494 |
| Business | No. 1 purpose of consuming wine is business gathering = 1 | 0.461 | 0.499 |
| Advertise important | Impact of wine advertisement in purchasing decision is large = 1 | 0.452 | 0.498 |
| Not know | Do not know wine well as self reported = 1 | 0.470 | 0.499 |
| Know | Know wine well as self reported = 1 | 0.038 | 0.192 |
| Country | Most important wine attribute is origin country = 1 | 0.478 | 0.500 |
| Year | Most important wine attribute is year made = 1 | 0.388 | 0.487 |
| Brand | Most important wine attribute is brand = 1 | 0.496 | 0.500 |
| Variety | Most important wine attribute is grape variety = 1 | 0.139 | 0.346 |
| Taste | Most important wine attribute is taste = 1 | 0.481 | 0.500 |
| Color | Most important wine attribute is color = 1 | 0.139 | 0.346 |
| Aroma | Most important wine attribute is aroma = 1 | 0.153 | 0.360 |
| Price | Most important wine attribute is price = 1 | 0.377 | 0.485 |
| Male | Male = 1 | 0.534 | 0.499 |
| Age | Age | 31.687 | 8.990 |
| Income | Household pre tax monthly income (divided by 1,000) | 14.973 | 15.816 |
| Education | Education in years | 15.480 | 2.479 |

Table 2. Definition of Variables and Descriptive Statistics


| | Total Sample | | Shenzhen Sample | | Wuhan Sample | | Shenyang Sample | |
|---|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Coeff. | Std. Err. | Coeff. | Std. Err. | Coeff. | Std. Err. | Coeff. | Std. Err. |
| Intercept | 10.008 | 38.692 | -5.238 | 78.826 | -8.170 | 71.593 | 58.063 | 42.241 |
| Gift consumption | 0.215*** | 0.016 | 0.110*** | 0.032 | 0.248*** | 0.036 | 0.195*** | 0.019 |
| Alcohol expenditure | 0.004* | 0.003 | 0.053*** | 0.016 | 0.056*** | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| Shenzhen | 9.863 | 9.862 | | | | | | |
| Wuhan | 29.426*** | 9.709 | | | | | | |
| Over ten years | -46.652* | 23.920 | 28.050 | 47.184 | -114.113** | 48.029 | -65.648** | 25.815 |
| Glass per week | 9.716*** | 1.896 | 8.798** | 3.452 | 15.835*** | 4.142 | 3.031 | 2.290 |
| Self-supermarket | 0.009 | 12.127 | -2.167 | 25.141 | 9.409 | 23.886 | -8.157 | 12.966 |
| Self-specialty | 38.517*** | 13.533 | 60.732** | 28.121 | 60.218** | 26.063 | 7.300 | 14.392 |
| Self-internet | 9.660 | 26.661 | 46.237 | 56.259 | 53.051 | 54.707 | -32.133 | 30.022 |
| Gift-supermarket | -9.530 | 14.461 | 9.135 | 28.410 | 16.019 | 29.197 | -21.427 | 15.515 |
| Gift-specialty | -6.905 | 14.158 | 0.092 | 28.720 | 2.806 | 28.560 | -12.239 | 15.234 |
| Gift-internet | -7.605 | 25.493 | 11.923 | 56.375 | -28.909 | 48.618 | 12.034 | 28.601 |
| Most often buy-China | 4.464 | 18.757 | -8.522 | 35.858 | -11.741 | 29.984 | 33.036 | 29.572 |
| Most often buy-France | 20.987 | 19.213 | 25.792 | 36.580 | -5.684 | 31.702 | 38.787 | 29.604 |
| Most often buy-Italy | 47.283* | 23.588 | 20.221 | 44.896 | 44.392 | 38.281 | 89.911** | 36.549 |
| Most often buy-Australia | -12.029 | 25.849 | -26.222 | 43.086 | -38.138 | 50.852 | 17.012 | 39.146 |
| Most often buy-New Zealand | -2.655 | 32.297 | -26.356 | 59.739 | 7.256 | 62.974 | 33.086 | 42.926 |
| Most often buy-Spain | 9.421 | 33.366 | 25.210 | 73.193 | -76.809 | 61.437 | 65.025 | 39.016 |
| Most often buy-USA | -42.966 | 34.707 | 14.677 | 66.810 | -68.588 | 53.493 | 11.288 | 68.478 |
| Most often buy-Chile | 6.672 | 34.711 | -0.287 | 66.968 | | | 12.811 | 36.839 |
| Most often buy-South Africa | -24.317 | 67.737 | -46.049 | 99.954 | | | -11.499 | 78.876 |
| Enjoyment | 19.350** | 7.986 | 33.558** | 16.179 | 35.338** | 14.917 | -6.517 | 9.613 |
| Health | -0.614 | 8.584 | 9.959 | 17.707 | -4.240 | 14.935 | -13.811 | 10.301 |
| Cosmetic | -10.761 | 9.037 | -29.683 | 18.285 | -5.857 | 16.253 | 3.258 | 10.992 |
| Culture | -9.276 | 8.293 | -8.516 | 17.829 | -6.227 | 15.096 | 0.383 | 9.418 |
| Business | -17.778** | 8.239 | -0.442 | 17.090 | -36.619** | 14.850 | -21.800** | 9.320 |
| Advertise important | -12.642 | 7.764 | -6.687 | 16.264 | -15.602 | 14.154 | -19.872** | 8.884 |
| Not know | -17.710** | 8.008 | 4.482 | 16.555 | -33.321** | 14.371 | -27.676*** | 9.241 |
| Know | 63.586*** | 20.412 | 42.658 | 43.241 | 62.152* | 34.209 | -2.290 | 25.312 |
| Country | 5.025 | 8.816 | 31.997* | 18.392 | -2.650 | 15.889 | 2.910 | 10.508 |
| Year | 4.397 | 8.790 | 23.594 | 17.841 | -9.159 | 16.165 | 5.641 | 10.496 |
| Brand | -19.330** | 8.572 | -17.800 | 17.617 | -35.148** | 15.477 | -10.339 | 9.979 |
| Variety | 0.543 | 11.339 | -9.742 | 26.171 | -6.726 | 19.872 | 27.006** | 12.463 |
| Taste | 8.377 | 8.529 | 23.242 | 17.184 | 3.566 | 15.357 | 0.360 | 10.302 |
| Color | -16.838 | 12.081 | -10.660 | 25.494 | -42.789** | 21.079 | 13.643 | 14.467 |
| Aroma | 13.616 | 11.784 | 21.664 | 20.920 | 36.531 | 22.897 | -9.724 | 15.581 |
| Price | 5.315 | 8.652 | -5.325 | 17.248 | 4.936 | 16.261 | -1.822 | 9.797 |
| Male | 9.796 | 7.792 | 7.165 | 15.916 | 7.050 | 14.769 | 15.241* | 8.852 |
| Age | 0.253 | 0.440 | 0.623 | 0.982 | 0.242 | 0.821 | -0.197 | 0.459 |
| Income | 0.233 | 0.249 | 0.242 | 0.606 | 0.242 | 0.392 | -0.137 | 0.300 |
| Education | -9.274 | 15.810 | -41.897 | 36.115 | 12.429 | 29.325 | -3.855 | 16.717 |
| N | 885 | 10.010 | 285 | 50.115 | 315 | 20.020 | 285 | 10.717 |
| Adj. R ² | 0.296 | | 0.206 | | 0.434 | | 0.423 | |
| Adj. R ⁻ *, **, and *** indicate significa | | F 0/ 1 11 | 1 | | 0.434 | | 0.423 | |

Table 3. Regression Result on Wine Schroumption Expenditure

| | Total Sample | | Shenzhen Sample | | Wuhan Sample | | Shenyang Sample | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|
| | Coeff. | Std. Err. | Coeff. | Std. Err. | Coeff. | Std. Err. | Coeff. | Std. Err |
| Intercept | 34.060 | 76.592 | 15.894 | 155.877 | -15.200 | 111.098 | -22.408 | 120.918 |
| Self consumption | 0.844** | 0.061 | 0.431*** | 0.121 | 0.597*** | 0.084 | 1.590*** | 0.148 |
| Alcohol expenditure | 0.012*** | 0.005 | 0.164*** | 0.030 | 0.082*** | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| Shenzhen | -5.942 | 19.533 | | | | | | |
| Wuhan | -3.298 | 19.319 | | | | | | |
| Over ten years | 163.146*** | 47.140 | 110.251 | 93.119 | 68.160 | 75.138 | 257.026*** | 72.823 |
| Glass per week | -0.564 | 3.806 | 1.323 | 6.910 | 1.375 | 6.584 | -12.192 | 6.508 |
| Self-supermarket | -9.240 | 24.005 | -5.951 | 49.715 | 6.878 | 37.074 | -47.431 | 36.895 |
| Self-specialty | -13.474 | 26.908 | 9.584 | 56.101 | -13.063 | 40.802 | -16.213 | 41.058 |
| Self-internet | -28.543 | 52.776 | -15.601 | 111.391 | 43.030 | 84.993 | -21.870 | 85.813 |
| Gift-supermarket | 8.577 | 28.632 | 86.030 | 55.939 | -1.788 | 45.331 | 14.200 | 44.406 |
| Gift-specialty | -7.140 | 28.031 | -35.525 | 56.750 | 40.854 | 44.256 | 5.377 | 43.506 |
| Gift-internet | 7.639 | 50.469 | 38.691 | 111.466 | 114.865 | 75.194 | -58.965 | 81.528 |
| Most often buy-China | -14.036 | 37.130 | -36.816 | 70.880 | -10.063 | 46.538 | -97.336 | 84.338 |
| Most often buy-France | 5.651 | 38.061 | 24.040 | 72.390 | 0.261 | 49.198 | -103.410 | 84.478 |
| Most often buy-Italy | -53.671 | 46.766 | 2.969 | 88.817 | -88.626 | 59.315 | -128.106 | 105.15 |
| Most often buy-Australia | -3.384 | 51.179 | 18.304 | 85.256 | -26.608 | 78.972 | -77.655 | 111.59 |
| Most often buy-New Zealand | 166.495** | 63.696 | 76.420 | 118.083 | 145.839 | 97.355 | 149.828 | 122.23 |
| Most often buy-Spain | 77.270 | 66.007 | -91.431 | 144.660 | -11.756 | 95.588 | 32.906 | 111.86 |
| Most often buy-USA | -14.765 | 68.765 | 117.034 | 131.930 | -23.608 | 83.232 | -272.229 | 194.61 |
| Most often buy-Chile | -27.433 | 68.712 | -37.106 | 132.409 | | | -97.239 | 104.93 |
| Most often buy-South Africa | -31.159 | 134.105 | -143.429 | 197.541 | | | 23.874 | 224.99 |
| Enjoyment | -35.117** | 15.817 | -45.702 | 32.131 | -22.135 | 23.332 | -20.251 | 27.416 |
| Health | 24.295 | 16.973 | 49.635 | 34.900 | 24.328 | 23.136 | 33.683 | 29.410 |
| Cosmetic | 19.329 | 17.892 | 20.650 | 36.318 | 32.570 | 25.155 | 0.402 | 31.358 |
| Culture | 5.514 | 16.428 | 9.318 | 35.267 | -42.764* | 23.300 | 21.710 | 26.828 |
| Business | 37.467** | 16.305 | 53.151 | 33.634 | 43.894* | 23.140 | 16.966 | 26.839 |
| Advertise important | 3.297 | 15.391 | -23.769 | 32.138 | 1.849 | 22.009 | 55.774** | 25.346 |
| Not know | -21.098 | 15.881 | -39.733 | 32.648 | -6.981 | 22.500 | 16.148 | 26.790 |
| Know | 33.005 | 40.612 | -31.692 | 85.646 | -87.195 | 53.140 | 189.560** | 71.240 |
| Country | -5.492 | 17.455 | 12.956 | 36.571 | -26.317 | 24.610 | 35.354 | 29.897 |
| Year | 11.589 | 17.398 | 20.830 | 35.374 | 35.684 | 25.012 | -1.563 | 29.955 |
| Brand | 3.357 | 17.016 | -81.524** | 34.538 | 5.917 | 24.224 | 49.770* | 28.355 |
| Variety | -16.021 | 22.441 | -7.188 | 51.764 | 7.753 | 30.840 | -49.891 | 35.733 |
| Taste | 5.918 | 16.892 | 44.396 | 33.989 | 17.335 | 23.812 | 13.580 | 29.371 |
| Color | 45.186* | 23.895 | 124.422** | 49.839 | 57.161* | 32.769 | -43.350 | 41.247 |
| Aroma | -44.501* | 23.298 | -106.614** | 40.924 | -68.198* | 35.463 | 76.723* | 44.220 |
| Price | -22.119 | 17.115 | -17.717 | 34.097 | -1.309 | 25.237 | -31.494 | 27.877 |
| Male | -4.987 | 15.437 | -3.555 | 31.484 | -26.213 | 22.875 | -2.401 | 25.391 |
| Age | -1.260 | 0.869 | -3.388* | 1.931 | -0.597 | 1.273 | 0.467 | 1.306 |
| Income | -0.579 | 0.492 | -0.317 | 1.197 | -0.383 | 0.609 | -0.226 | 0.856 |
| Education | 55.115* | 31.251 | 83.221 | 71.415 | 49.718 | 45.428 | 48.282 | 47.595 |
| N | 885 | 01.201 | 285 | 11.410 | 315 | -10.420 | 285 | -1.000 |
| Adj. R ² | 0.251 | | 0.234 | | | | | |
| Adj. R [_] *, **, and *** indicate significa | | | | | 0.343 | | 0.441 | |

Table 4. Regression Result on Wine Gifting Expenditure

Figure 1.Ranking of the Most often Purchasing Occasions






Figure 2. Ranking of the Most Often Reasons for Wine Consumption

References

Anderson K. and Wittwer G. (2013)How Large Could Australia's Wine Exports to China be by 2018?.Wine & Viticulture Journal28(6): 6064.

Balestrini, P. and Gamble, P. (2006). Count of yorigin effects on Chinese wine consumers, British Food Journal 108, 396–412.

BouzdineChameeva, T., Pesme J. and Zhang, W. (2013) Chinese Wine Industry: Current and Future Market Trends, AAWE Conference, Stellenbosch, South Afritga://www.wine economics.org/aawe/wp.../07/Bouzdine_Pesme_Zhangapdessed July 15, 2014]

Central Intelligence Agency, The World Factbobter Stress Agency, The World Factbob S

Chen, K. (2012). South China Quarterly U.S. Wine Promotion Report. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service, Gain Report Number CH11<u>Bfg2://</u> www.calwinexport.com/...South%2@hina%20Quarterly%20U.S.%20W[accessed July 15, 2014]

Corsi, A.M., Marinelli, N. and Sottini, V.A. (2010). Italian Wines and Asian Markets: Opportunities and Threats Under New Policy Scenarios and Competitive Dynamics, AARES National Conferenc@010.<u>http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/handle/5</u>& Sessed on July 15, 2014]

Fang, C. and Pan, S. (2003). Liquor and Beverage Consumption in China: A Censored Demand System Approach, American Agricultural Economics Association Annual Meeting 2003. <u>http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/handle/2</u>2[265]essed July 20, 2014]

Gallet, C.A. (2007). The demand for alcohol: a metaalysis of elsticities' Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 121-135.

Labys, W.C. and Cohen, B.C. (2006) rends versus cycles in global wine export shares Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics 527–537.

Lee, H., Huang J., Rozelle S. and Sumner D. (2009) Markets in China: Assessing the Potential with Supermarket Survey Databurnal of Wine Economica (1), 94113.

Lin, H. and Tavoletti, E. (2013). The Marketing of Italian Wine Brands in China: The 'Mainstreaming' Approach Transition Studies Review, 221237.

Liu, F. and Murphy, J. (2007)A"qualitative study of Chinese wine consumption and purchasing implications for Australia winesh ternational Journal of Wine Business Research 19, 98–113.

Ly, P., Howson, C. and Begun, J. (2014). Made in China: Wine Edition. Alternative Emerging Investor. Available from URL<u>http://www.aeinvestor.com/story/maidechinawine-edition/</u>[accessed on Jully8, 2014].

Marchesini, S., Huliyeti, H., Canavari, Mand Farneti, A. (2007). Attitudes Towards Italian Wine of Practitioners in the Chinese Distribution, DEIAgra Working Pape 458.

Mariani, A., Napoletano, F. and Pomarici, E. (2011). Value, Volume and Geography of New Wine Markets (20042009), Intenational Vine and Wine Organization OIV Meeting,15. <u>http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/handle/6</u>2300 essed July 23, 2014]

Marquis, C. and Yang, Z. (2014). The Chinese Wine Market: Vanguard of a Consumption Society, China Policy Review, 6769.

Masset, P. and Weisskopf, J. (2010). Raise Your Glass: Wine Investment and The Financial Crisis, AAWE Working Paper No. 5724-

Morrison, A. and Rabellotti, R. (2014). Gradual Catch Up and Enduring Leadership in the Global Wine Industry, AAWE Working Papeo. 148, 134. <u>http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/agsaawewp/16465[abbasesed July 12014]</u>

Muhammad, A., Leister, A.M., McPhail, L. and Chen, W. (2013). The Evolution of Foreign Wine Demand in China, Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Econ 68,ic92-408.

Somogyi, S., Li, E., Johnson, T., Bruwer, J. and Bastian, S. (2011). The underlying motivations of Chinese wine consumer behavior, Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistids 3-485.

Sun, B.B. (2009). National Wine Market People's Republic of China. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Serviceain Report Number CH9808. <u>http://gain.fas.usda.gov/Recent%20GAIN%20Publications/National%20%20Market_Shang hai%20ATO_China%20%20Peoples%20Republic%20of18-2009.pd</u>f [accessed on July 22, 2014]]

135 EAAE Seminar Belgrade, Serbia, 2013. http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/handle/160516 [accessed on July 31, 2014]

Wang, H. and McCluskey, J. (2010). Effects of Information and Country of Origin on Chinese Consumer Preferences for Wine: An Eximental Approach in the Field, Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010. http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/handle/actessed on July 25, 2014]

Willsher, K. (2014). China becomes biggest keet for red wine, with 1.86bn bottles sold in 2013. The Guardiam<u>ttp://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/jan/29/chimppetitered-winemarketboom</u>[accessed od/uly 18, 2014].

Yang, S., P. Qing, W. Hu and Y. Liu (2013) sing a Modified Payment Card Survey to Measure Chinese Consumers' Willingness to Pay for Fair Tradee of bonsidering Starting Points' Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics: 119139.

Ye, B.H., Zhang, H.Q. and Yuan, J. (2014). Intentions to Participate in Wine Tourism in an Emerging Market: Theorization and Implications, Journal of Hospitalityo&rism Researcb March 2014.

Yoo, Y.J., Saliba, A.J., MacDonald, J.B., Prenzler, P.D. and Ryan, D. (2013). Acoltosal study of wine consumers with respect to health benefits of wine, Food Quality and Preference 28, 531538

Yu, Y., Sun, H., Goodman, S., Chen, S. and Ma, H. (2009) intese choices: a survey of wine consumers in Beijin'gInternational Journal of Wine Business Research 21, 155–168.

Zhang, H. Q., J. Yuan, B. H. Ye and K. Hung (2013) "Wine Tourism Phenomena in China: An emerging Market" International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Manage 26 nt 115-1134.